### **REDTOP:** a low energy meson factory to explore dark matter and physics beyond the Standard Model



Corrado Gatto INFN Napoli and Northern Illinois University

## Part I:

## **Current physics landscape in HEP**

### Shortfalls of the Standard Model

Where to search for New Physis

## The Shortfalls of The Standard Model

- The Standard Model has served us well for 50 years
- Recent measurements indicates it can't be the final answer
- Six categories of problems have arisen



### Anomalies of the Standard Model - I

### Baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU)

Necessary ingredients are:

- Baryon number violation
- Thermal non-equilibrium
- C and CP violation



All of these ingredients were present in the early Universe!

- Do we understand the cause of CP violation in particle interactions?
- Can we calculate the BAU from first principles?



TIPP (Lastarground) decarground

#### 1975 Nobel Peace Prize



### Anomalies of the Standard Model - I

Baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU)

### Necessary ingredients are:

- Baryon number violation Thermal CP Violation in SM not .
- All of these ingredients were present
- in the early Universe!
  - **Baryon Number Violation** •
  - Can we calcul still not observed •

Dark Sectors Baryogenesis

1975 Nobel Peace Prize

### Anomalies of the Standard Model - II

Hubble Constant (describing the expansion of the universe) Latest measurements diverge from Standard Cosmology Model

### Expansion of the universe is accelerating

Indicates large amounts of "dark energy" (~ 70% of total energy) Cosmologists have included a repulsive dark energy in their model of cosmic evolution

Galactic rotation curves and clusters

Indicates large amounts of "dark matter" (~ 5x standard matter)

Presence of dark matter inferred via gravitational effects only

No dark matter with the required properties still observed





C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

### Anomalies of the Standard Model - II

**Expansion of the universe is accelerating** 

Indicates large amounts of "dark energy" (~ 70% of total energy) Cosmologists have included a repulsive dark energy in their model of cosmic evolution

# н Neither Dark Matter or Dark

Latest measurem Energy exists in the Galactic rotation curves and curves

## Indicates large a Standard Model rd matter)

Presence of dark matter inferred via gravitational effects only

No dark matter with the required properties still observed

## None have been observed with direct measurements

Matter:

-25%

Free hydrogen and helium:

4%

Dark matter: ~25%

Dark energy:

Baryogenesis Dark matter Flavor problem

## Anomalies of the Standard Model - III

Super-Kamiokande and SNO demonstrated that neutrino mass ≠ 0 as they oscillate

#### Neutrino mystique

1. Neutrinos are elementary particles of matter called leptons. They come in three "flavors," each associated with a heavier lepton partner.

$v_{e}$ electron neutrino	$\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ muon neutrino	$\mathcal{V}_{ au}$ tau neutrino	
е	μ	τ	

2. A neutrino flavor doesn't have any one mass, but instead exists as a combination of three mass states (electron neutrino shown).



T. DUBÉ

3. As a neutrino travels from its source, the waves representing the mass states interfere, building up and canceling each other to varying degrees. Because of these wave interactions, a neutrino that starts as an electron neutrino, for example, can have a four-ninths probability of showing up as a different flavor somewhere down the line.





NEUTRINO NOBEL Arthur McDonald (left) and Takaaki Kajita shared the Nobel Prize in physics for the discovery that neutrinos oscillate between different types, which demonstrates that the particles have mass.

#### 2015 Nobel Prize



Mar 11, 2016

4/18/2023

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

8

## Anomalies of the Standard Model - III

Super-Kamiokande and SNO demonstrated that neutrino mass @ as they oscillate



C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

## Theoretical Problems of the SM - I

The strong CP problem

Why does QCD seem to preserve CP-symmetry?

CP-symmetry could be violated in strong interactions. However, no such violation has ever been observed in any experiment involving only the strong interaction.

It could be a fine-tuning problem (but very unnatural) or a hint of New Physics

**There are several solutions being proposed** The existence of a Peccei-Quinn axion is the most famous



# Theoretical Problems of the SM - I

#### The strong CP problem

Why does QCD seem to preserve CP-symmetry?

#### CPev Several experiment are It could be a fine-tuning for the QCD The searching for the QCD

The existence of a Pecaxion is the most famous

# Nothing has been found

**/et**.

ark matter

**Dark Sectors** 

## **Theoretical Problems of the SM - II**

### The hierarchy problem

- <sup>•</sup> It is the huge difference in the strength of fundamental forces or the wide range in mass for the elementary particles.
- Why is there such a wide spectrum of masses among the building blocks of matter? Imagine having a Lego set containing bricks as disparate in size as that!

#### The hierarchy problem is also related to the Higgs boson mass.

- Corrections to the Higgs mass are proportional to the mass of the contributing quark
- The top quark being the heaviest particle, it adds such a large correction to the *theoretical* Higgs boson mass that theorists wonder how the *measured* Higgs boson mass can be as small as it was found.



the cosmological constant [often referred to as "dark energy"] is amazingly small, compared to what you'd naturally expect.



## Theoretical Problems of the SM - II

### The hierarchy problem

- It is the huge difference in the strength of fundamental forces or the wide range in mass for the elementary particles.
- Why is there such a wide spectrum of masses among the building blocks of matter? Imagine σ having a Lego set containing bricks as disparate in size as that!
- The hierarchy problem is also related to the Higgs boson mass.
  - ٥
  - Corrections to the Higgs mass are proportional to the mass of the contributing quark The top quark of the theory of the state of the top quark of the top quark of the top quark of the theory of the theory of the theory of the theory of the top quark of the top σ found within the Standard Model for the hierarchy and T the cosmological constant [often referred to as "dark energy"] is amazingly small, pr

compared to what you'd naturally expect.

Why is the Higgs

light?

**Dark Sectors** 



## **Theoretical Problems of the SM - III**

#### Number of parameters

- The Standard Model depends on 19 numerical parameters
- Their value is know from the experiments, but their origin is unknown
- Any attempt to find a relationship among different parameters has failed

#### Quantum triviality

Suggests that it might not be possible to create a quantum field theory involving elementary scalar Higgs particles

#### No full theory of gravitation as described in the general relativity

- Simply adding a graviton to the SM does not reproduce the experimental observations
- SM is widely considered *incompatible* with the current general relativity

## **Theoretical Problems of the SM - III**



15

## **Outstanding Anomalies in HEP - I**



## **Outstanding Anomalies in HEP - II**

 $X_{17}$  in the e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> emission spectra of isoscalar magnetic transitions of <sup>8</sup>Be and <sup>4</sup>He



#### W mass from CDF vs SM prediction

 $M_W|_{\rm CDF} = 80,433.5 \pm 6.4_{\rm stat} \pm 6.9_{\rm syst} = 80,433.5 \pm 9.4 \,{\rm MeV}$ 

 $7\sigma$  effect



## **Current Status of HEP**

- SM ingredients are insufficient to explain the nature. Most likely we need:
  - *new forces (with adequate CP violation)*
  - *new particles*
- Mass of possible New Physics spans 40 order of magnitude
- We don't have a clue of what's beyond the Standard Model
- Parameter space for New Physics at High Energy is running out (from LHC results)
- Scientists are hard pressed to design new experiments for understanding what's going on
- We are in a rare (and exciting time) when discoveries will set the stage for the next 30-50 years

## The Quest for Dark Matter



## The Quest for Dark Matter



## The Quest for Dark Matter



Newest theoretical models prefer gauge bosons in MeV-GeV mass range as "... many of the more severe astrophysical and cosmological constraints that apply to lighter states are weakened or eliminated, while those from high energy colliders are often inapplicable" (B. Batell , M. Pospelov, A. Ritz – 2009)

New mediator is expected to couple to SM stronger than  $G_F$ 

21

## **Connection** between Standard and Dark Matter



### **Experimental Signatures**



## **Current Experimental Searches**

- Direct searches
- Proton beam dump
- Electron beam dump
- Fixed target electron scattering
- Fixed target proton experiments
- Colliders



# **Experimental Techniques**

### Accelerators



## Part II:

## **REDTOP**

## Rare Eta/Eta' Decays TO Explore New Physics



## Rationale for an $\eta/\eta'$ Factory

"Light dark matter must be neutral under SM charges, otherwise it would have been discovered at previous colliders" [G. Krnjaic RF6 Meeting, 8/2020]

- The only known particles with all-zero quantum numbers: Q = I = J = S = B = L = 0are the **n/n' mesons** and the **Higgs boson (also the vacuum!)** ->very rare in nature
- The  $\eta$  meson is a Goldstone boson (the  $\eta'$  meson is not!)
- The  $\eta/\eta'$  decays are flavor-conserving reactions

#### **Experimental advantages:**

- Hadronic production cross section is quite large (~ 0.1 barn) → much easier to produce than heavier mesons
- All its possible strong decays are forbidden in lowest order by P and CP invariance, G-parity conservation and isospin and charge symmetry invariance.
- EM decays are forbidden in lowest order by C invariance and angular momentum conservation Branching Ratio of processes from New Physics are enhanced compared to SM.



A  $\eta/\eta'$  factory is equivalent to a low energy Higgs factory and an excellent laboratory to probe New Physics below 1 GeV

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU



## Main Physics Goals of REDTOP

**Test of CP invariance via Dalitz plot mirror asymmetry:**  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$ Search for asymmetries in the dalitz plot with very high statistics

Test of CP invariance via  $\mu$  polarization studies:  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ , Measure the angular asymmetry between spin and momentum

Dark photon searches:  $\eta \rightarrow \gamma A'$ , with  $A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ Need excellent vertexing and particle ID

QCD axion and ALP searches:  $\eta \rightarrow \pi\pi a$ , with  $a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ,  $a \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow e^+e^-$ Dual (or triple!) calorimeters and vertexing

Dark scalar searches:  $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ}H$ , with  $H \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ ,  $H \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ Dual (or triple!) calorimeters and particle ID

Lepton Flavor Universality studies:  $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- X$ ,  $\eta \rightarrow e^+ e^- X$ Need excellent particle ID

4/18/2023

### Detecting BSM Physics with REDTOP ( $\eta/\eta'$ factory)



Assuming a yield	~ <b>10</b> <sup>14</sup>	η	mesons/yr and	~10 <sup>12</sup> η'	mesons/yr
------------------	---------------------------	---	---------------	----------------------	-----------

C, T, CP-violation	New particles and forces searches
$\Box CP$ Violation via Dalitz plot mirror asymmetry: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \pi^{*} \pi$	□Scalar meson searches (charged channel): $\eta \to \pi^{\circ} H$ with $H \to e^+e^-$ and
$\Box CP$ Violation (Type I – P and T odd , C even): $\eta \rightarrow 4\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow 8\gamma$	$H \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$
<b>CP</b> Violation (Type II - C and T odd , P even): $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \ell^{*} \ell$ and $\eta \rightarrow 3\gamma$	Dark photon searches: $\eta \rightarrow \gamma A'$ with $A' \rightarrow \ell^* \ell$
□ <i>Test of CP invariance via</i> $\mu$ <i>longitudinal polarization:</i> $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$	• Protophobic fifth force searches : $\eta \rightarrow \gamma X_{17}$ with $X_{17} \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$
$\Box CP$ inv. via $\gamma^*$ polarization studies: $\eta \to \pi^* \pi^- e^+ e^- \& \eta \to \pi^* \pi^- \mu^+ \mu^-$	•QCD axion searches : $\eta \to \pi \pi a_{17}$ with $a_{17} \to e^+e^-$
□ <i>CP</i> invariance in angular correlation studies: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-e^+e^-$	■ <i>New leptophobic baryonic force searches</i> : $\eta \rightarrow \gamma B$ with $B \rightarrow e^+e^-$ or $B \rightarrow \gamma \pi^o$
$\Box CP$ invariance in angular correlation studies: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$^{ u}$ Indirect searches for dark photons new gauge bosons and leptoquark: $\eta$
$\Box CP$ invariance in $\mu$ polar. in studies: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$	$\rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}$ and $\eta \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$
$\Box T$ invar. via $\mu$ transverse polarization: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$ and $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$	□ Search for true muonium: $\eta \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^{+}\mu^{-}) _{2M_{\mu}} \rightarrow \gamma e^{+}e^{-}$
<b>CPT</b> violation: $\mu$ polar. in $\eta \to \pi^* \mu v vs \eta \to \pi \mu^* v - \gamma$ polar. in $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$	Lepton Universality
Other discrete symmetry violations	$\Box \eta \to \pi^{\circ} H \text{ with } H \to \nu N_2 \text{ , } N_2 \to h' N_1 \text{ , } h' \to e^+ e^-$
□Lepton Flavor Violation: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ e^- + c.c.$	<b>Other Precision Physics measurements</b>
<b>Radiative Lepton Flavor Violation:</b> $\eta \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^+e^- + c.c.$	Proton radius anomaly: $\eta \to \gamma \mu^+ \mu^- vs  \eta \to \gamma e^+ e^-$
Double lepton Flavor Violation: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^+ e^- e^- + c.c.$	<b></b> <i>All unseen leptonic decay mode of</i> $\eta / \eta'$ (SM predicts 10 <sup>-6</sup> -10 <sup>-9</sup> )
Non- $\eta/\eta'$ based BSM Physics	High precision studies on medium energy physics
$\Box Neutral pion decay: \pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma A' \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$	<sup>D</sup> Nuclear models
□ <i>ALP's searches in Primakoff processes:</i> $p Z \rightarrow p Z a \rightarrow l^+l^-$ ( <i>F.</i>	<sup> Chiral perturbation theory </sup>
Kahlhoefer)	<sup>D</sup> Non-perturbative QCD
Charged pion and kaon decays: $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu e^+ e^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu A' \rightarrow \mu^+ \nu e^+ e^-$	□Isospin breaking due to the u-d quark mass difference
□Dark photon and ALP searches in Drell-Yan processes: aabar $\rightarrow A'/a$	<sup>Q</sup> <i>Octet-singlet mixing angle</i>
$\rightarrow l^+l^-$	Delectromagnetic transition form-factors (important input for g-2)

4/18/2023

### Detecting BSM Physics with REDTOP ( $\eta/\eta'$ factory)



Assuming a yield ~ $10^{14}$   $\eta$  mesons/yr and ~ $10^{12}\eta'$  mesons/yr

C, T, CP-violation	New particles and forces searches
<sup>L</sup> CP Violation via Dalitz plot mirror asymmetry: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \pi^{*} \pi$	□Scalar meson searches (charged channel): $\eta \to \pi^{\circ} H$ with $H \to e^+e^-$ and
□ <i>CP Violation</i> (Type I – P and T odd , C even): $\eta$ –> $4\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow 8\gamma$	$H \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$
• CP Violation (Type II - C and T odd , P even): $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \ell^{*} \ell$ and $\eta \rightarrow 3\gamma$ • Test of CP invariance via $\mu$ longitudinal polarization: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{*}\mu^{-}$ • CP inv. via $\gamma^{*}$ polarization studies: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{*}\pi^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$ • CP invariance in angular correlation studies: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{*}\mu^{-}e^{+}e^{-}$ • CP invariance in angular correlation studies: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{*}\mu^{-}\pi^{*}\pi^{-}$ • CP invariance in angular correlation studies: $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{*}\mu^{-}\pi^{*}\pi^{-}$ • CP invariance in $\mu^{*}\mu^{-}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{-}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{-}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{-}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{-}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*}\mu^{*$	■ Dark photon searches: $\eta \rightarrow \gamma A'$ with $A' \rightarrow \ell^* \ell$ ■ Protophobic fifth force searches : $\eta \rightarrow \gamma X_{17}$ with $X_{17} \rightarrow \pi^* \pi^-$ ■ QCD axion searches : $\eta \rightarrow \pi \pi a_{17}$ with $a_{17} \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ ■ New leptophobic baryonic force searches : $\eta \rightarrow \gamma B$ with $B \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ or $B \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{\circ}$ ■ Indirect searches for dark photons new gauge bosons and leptoquark: $\eta$ ■ Indirect searches for dark photons new gauge bosons and leptoquark: $\eta$ ■ Lepton Universality
Other discrete sympletry violations	
<b>□</b> Lepton Flavor Violation: $η \rightarrow μ^{+}e^{-} + c.c.$	Other Precision Physics measurements
<b>Radiative</b> Lepton Flavor Violation: $\eta \rightarrow \gamma(\mu^+e^- + DOIVI)$	$\gamma = 100. \ r \mu^{\pm} i \sigma \cdot m \sigma \cdot \eta \rightarrow \gamma \mu^{\pm} \mu^{-} vs  \eta \rightarrow \gamma e^{+}e^{-}$
<b>Double lepton Flavor Violation:</b> $\eta \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{+}e^{-}e^{-} + c.c.$	<b>•</b> <i>All unseen leptonic decay mode of</i> $\eta / \eta'$ (SM predicts 10 <sup>-6</sup> -10 <sup>-9</sup> )
Non- $\eta/\eta'$ based BSM Physics	High precision studies on medium energy physics
□Neutral pion decay: $\pi^{\circ} \rightarrow \gamma A' \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-$	•Nuclear models
□ <i>ALP's searches in Primakoff processes:</i> $p Z \rightarrow p Z a \rightarrow l^+l^-$ (F.	Chiral perturbation theory
Kahlhoefer)	Non-perturbative QCD
□ Charged pion and kaon decays: $\pi^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v A' \rightarrow \mu^+ v e^+ e^-$ and $K^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ v A' \rightarrow \mu^+ v e^+ e^-$	Isospin breaking due to the u-d quark mass difference
□Dark photon and ALP searches in Drell-Yan processes: $qabar \rightarrow A'/a$	<sup>•</sup> Octet-singlet mixing angle
$\rightarrow l^+l^-$	<sup>•</sup> <i>Electromagnetic transition form-factors (important input for g-2)</i>

# The physics case for REDTOP



Physics case presented in 176-pp White Paper. Sensitivity studies based on ~10<sup>14</sup>  $\eta$  mesons (3.3x10<sup>18</sup> POT and 3-yr run), >30x10<sup>6</sup> CPU-Hr on OSG+NICADD

#### 15 processes fully simulated and reconstructed – 20 theoretical models benchmarked

- Four BSM portals
- Three CP violating processes requiring no μ-polarization measurement
- A fourth CP violating processes under study
- Three CP violating processes requiring  $\mu$ -polarization measurement
- Two lepton flavor universality studies
- Two lepton flavor violation studies

#### Key detector parameters

- Large sensitivity to <17 Mev mass resonances (compared to WASA and KLOE)
- Tracking capable to reconstruct detached verteces up to ~100 cm
- Sensitivity to BR ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^{-11})$  ( ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^{-12})$  with pion beam)
- Detector optimization under way

#### New particles REDTOP & forces Vector Portal: $\eta \rightarrow \gamma A'$ with $A' \rightarrow l^+l^-$ or $\pi^+\pi^-$ Some BR sensitivity curves ctau=20mm ctau=20mm ctau=40mm ctau=40mm ×10-7 ctau=100mm ctau=100mm Prompt B decay ctau=150mm ctau=150mm 3.0 $A' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ 2.9



#### Sensitivity curves for Minimal Dark Photon Model



FIG. 36. Sensitivity to to  $\varepsilon^2$  for the processes  $\eta \to \gamma A'$  for integrated beam flux of  $3.3 \times 10^{18}$  POT. Left plot: bump-hunt analysis. Right plot: detached-vertex analysis).

### Theoretical Models considered

- Minimal dark photon model
  - Most popular model
- Leptophobic B boson Model
- Protophobic Fifth Force
  - Explains the Atomki anomaly



C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

4/18/2023





#### Theoretical models considered

- Piophobic QCD axion model (D. S. M. Alves)
  - Below KLOE sensitivity
  - the CELSIUS/WASA Collaboration observed 24 evts with SM expectation of 10
- Heavy Axion Effective Theories

New	particles
& 1	forces

### Heavy Neutral Lepton Portal: $\eta \rightarrow \pi^0 H$ ;



### $H \rightarrow \nu N_2$ ; $N_2 \rightarrow N_1 h_0$ ; $h_0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$

#### Model considered for Snowmass

**Two-Higgs doublet model (W. Abdallah, R. Gandhi, and S. Roy) with the following benchmark** *parameters:* 

$m_{N_1}$	$m_{N_2}$	$m_{N_3}$	$y_{e(\mu)}^{h'} \times 10^4$	$y_{e(\mu)}^{H} \times 10^{4}$
$85\mathrm{MeV}$	$130\mathrm{MeV}$	$10{ m GeV}$	0.23(1.6)	2.29(15.9)
$m_{h'}$	$m_H$	$\sin \delta$	$y_{\nu_{i2}}^{h'(H)} \times 10^3$	$\lambda_{N_{12}}^{h'(H)}\!\!\times\!\!10^3$
$17\mathrm{MeV}$	$250\mathrm{MeV}$	0.1	1.25(12.4)	74.6(-7.5)

TABLE XXVIII. Benchmark parameters for REDTOP.



FIG. 61. Branching ratio for the process  $\eta \to \pi^0 H$ ;  $H \to \nu N_2$ ;  $N_2 \to N_1 h'$ ;  $h' \to e^+ e^-$  predicted by the Two Higgs Doublet model [51] as a function of  $(\lambda_u - \lambda_d)^2$ . The dashed line corresponds to the experimental limit for REDTOP with an integrated luminosity of  $3.3 \times 10^{18}$  POT.

### CP Violation from Dalitz plot mirror asymmetry in $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^\circ$



- $\Box$  *CP-violation from this process is not bounded by EDM as is the case for the*  $\eta \rightarrow 4\pi$  *process.*
- **Complementary to EDM searches even in the case of T and P odd observables, since the flavor structure of the eta is different from the nucleus**
- *Current PDG limits consistent with no asymmetry*
- New model in GenieHad (collaboration with S. Gardner & J. Shi ) based on <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.11617</u>



#Rec. Events	$\operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$	$\operatorname{Im}(\alpha)$	$\operatorname{Re}(\beta)$	$\operatorname{Im}(\beta)$	p-value
$10^8$ (no-bkg)	$3.3 \times 10^{-1}$	$3.7 \times 10^{-1}$	$4.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$5.6  imes 10^{-4}$	17%
Full stat. (no-bkg)	$1.9\times 10^{-2}$	$2.1  imes 10^{-2}$	$2.5\times10^{-5}$	$3.2\times10^{-5}$	17%
Full stat. (100%-bkg)	$2.3  imes 10^{-2}$	$3.0  imes 10^{-2}$	$3.5  imes 10^{-5}$	$4.5\times10^{-5}$	16%


# CP Violation from the asymmetry of the decay planes in $\eta \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-e^+e^-$ and $\eta \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-e^+e^-$

- See: Dao-Neng Gao, /hep-ph/0202002 and P. Sanchez-Puertas, JHEP 01, 031 (2019)
- Requires the measurement of angle between pions and leptons decay planes



UA



C. Gatto - INFN & NIU





### CP Violation in $\eta \rightarrow (\gamma, \pi^{\circ})\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$

*From model:* P. *Masjuan and* P. *Sanchez-Puertas, JHEP 08, 108 (2016), 1512.09292 & JHEP 01, 031 (2019), 1810.13228.* 

 $\Box$  Requires the measurement of  $\mu$ -polarization to form the following asymmetries



FIG. 11. Kinematics of the process. The decaying muons' momenta in the  $\eta$  rest frame are noted as  $p_{\mu^{\pm}}$ , while the  $e^{\pm}$  momenta,  $p_{e^{\pm}}^*$ , is shown in the corresponding  $\mu^{\pm}$  reference frame along with the momenta of the  $\nu \bar{\nu}$  system. The  $\hat{z}$  axis is chosen along  $p_{\mu^+}$ .

introduced two different muon's polarization asymmetries,

$$A_{L} = \frac{N(\cos\theta > 0) - N(\cos\theta < 0)}{N} = \text{Im}[4.1c_{\ell edq}^{2222} - 2.7(c_{\ell equ}^{(1)2211} + c_{\ell edq}^{2211})] \times 10^{-2}, \quad (47)$$

$$A_{\times} = \frac{N(\sin\Phi > 0) - N(\sin\Phi < 0)}{N} = \text{Im}[2.5c_{\ell edq}^{2222} - 1.6(c_{\ell equ}^{(1)2211} + c_{\ell edq}^{2211})] \times 10^{-3}, \quad (48)$$

#### **REDTOP** sensitivity to Wilson CP violating Wilson coefficients

Process	Trigger	Trigger	Trigger	Reconstruction	Total	Branching ratio
	LO	L1	L2	+ analysis		sensitivity
$\eta \to \mu^+ \mu^-$	66.3%	16.3%	<b>51.9%</b>	69.6%	3.9%	$2.7\times 10^{-8}\pm 3.0\times 10^{-10}$
Urqmd	21.7%	1.7%	22.2%	$8.6\times10^{-3}\%$	$7.0\times10^{-6}\%$	-

 $\Delta(c_{\ell equ}^{1122}) = 0.1 \times 10^{-1}, \quad \Delta(c_{\ell edq}^{1122}) = 0.1, \quad \Delta(c_{\ell edq}^{2222}) = 6.6 \times 10^{-2},$ 

38

#### LF-universality



### **LHCb latest results using** $B^+ \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu K^+ vs e^+ e^- K^+: 3.1\sigma$ discrepancy vs SM



 $\eta 
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \mu^+ \mu^-$  ,  $e^+ e^- \mu^+ \mu^-$  ,  $e^+ e^- e^+ e^-$ 

□ *Theoretical calculations at the 10<sup>-3</sup> precision from Kampf, Novotný, Sanchez-Puertas (PR D 97, 056010 (2018))* 



4/18/2023

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

REDTOP

# **Present** & Future $\eta$ Samples



	Technique	$\eta  ightarrow 3\pi^{o}$	$\eta  ightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$	Total η mesons
CB@AGS	$\pi^- p  ightarrow \eta n$	9×10 <sup>5</sup>		107
CB@MAMI C&B	$\gamma p  ightarrow \eta p$	1.8×10 <sup>6</sup>	5000	$2 \times 10^7 + 6 \times 10^7$
BES-III	$e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \rightarrow \eta\gamma + \eta$ hadrons	6×10 <sup>6</sup>		$1.1 \times 10^7 + 2.5 \times 10^7$
KLOE-II	$e + e -  ightarrow {I \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! \! $	6.5×10 <sup>5</sup>		~10 <sup>9</sup>
WASA@COSY	pp→ηpp pd→η³He			>10º (untagged) 3×10 <sup>7</sup> (tagged)
CB@MAMI 10 wk (proposed 2014)	$\gamma p  ightarrow \eta p$	3×10 <sup>7</sup>	1.5×10⁵	3×10 <sup>8</sup>
Phenix	$d Au \rightarrow \eta X$			5×10 <sup>9</sup>
Hades	$pp \rightarrow \eta pp \\ p Au \rightarrow \eta X$			4.5×10 <sup>8</sup>
	Near future	e samples		
GlueX@JLAB (running)	$\gamma_{12 \text{ GeV}} p \rightarrow \eta X \rightarrow \text{neutrals}$			5.5×10 <sup>7</sup> /yr
JEF@JLAB ( approved)	$\gamma_{12 \text{ GeV}} p \rightarrow \eta X \rightarrow neutrals$			3.9×10 <sup>5</sup> /day
REDTOP (proposing)	$p_{1.8~GeV}Li  ightarrow \eta X$			3.4×10 <sup>13</sup> /yr

### REDTOP **REDTOP** Running Modes for $10^{14}$ $\eta$ mesons



# **REDTOP** Running Modes for $10^{14}$ $\eta$ mesons





### Acceleration Scheme for Run-I (M. Syphers)

Single p pulse from booster ( $\leq 4x10^{12}$  p) injected in the DR (former debuncher in anti-p production at Tevatron) at fixed energy (8 GeV)

Energy is removed by inserting 1 or 2 RF cavities identical to the one already planned (~5 seconds)

Slow extraction to REDTOP over ~40 seconds.

*The 270° of betatron phase advance between the Mu2e Electrostatic Septum and REDTOP Lambertson is ideal for AP50 extraction to the inside of the ring.* 

Total time to decelerate-debunch-extract: 51 sec: duty cycle ~80%



# REDTOP

# **Accelerator Physics Issues**





### Transition Energy

- $\gamma_t$  is where  $\Delta f/f = 1/\gamma 2 \langle D/\rho \rangle = 0$ ; synchrotron motion stops momentarily, can often lead to beam loss
- beam decelerates from  $\gamma = 9.5$  to  $\gamma = 3.1$
- original Delivery Ring  $\gamma_t = 7.6$
- a re-powering of 18 quadrupole magnets can create
  - a  $\gamma_t = 10$ , thus avoiding passing through this condition
  - · Johnstone and Syphers, Proc. NA-PAC 2016, Chicago (2016).

### **Resonant Extraction**

- Mu2e will use 1/3-integer resonant extraction
- REDTOP can use same system, with use of the spare Mu2e magnetic septum
- initial calculations indicate sufficient phase space, even with the larger beam at the lower energies

### Vacuum

- REDTOP spill time is much longer than for Mu2e
- though beam-gas scattering emittance growth rate 3 times higher at lower energy, still tolerable level

44

# **Beam Options at GSI/FAIR (near future)**

### Opportunities as fixt target exp.



**OPTION A** 

Fixt target (SIS18)

- 1e11 p/spill (time structure flexible) at SIS18
- Residual beam might be used for Hades pion program
- Additional shielding and cave need to be evaluated
- High intensity needs exclusive proton operation



OPTION B Fixt target (SIS100)

FAIR E =

REDTOP

- p-bar target area
- 2e12 p/spill (time structure flexible) at SIS100
- Parallel operation possible due to p-LINAC
- Shielding and cave need to be evaluated
- Actual timeline beyond 2028

FAIR GmbH | GSI GmbH

4/18/2023





Beam intensity: 1.8 GeV protons with 1e11/s

### **Daniel Severin**

4/18/2023

## Beam Options at HIAF (near future)



Energy from 2.0 to 9 GeV around 2028 – 2030

Plans are to combine REDTOP with an experiment on hypernuclei

4/18/2023

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

47

REDTOP

## MARS15 Shielding Assesment





#### Beam dump: dia-30 x 80 cm Al + 15 cm HDPE +5% B + 10 cm Barite

### **REDTOP Trigger Requirement**

# REDTOP

### Untagged $10^{14} \eta/\eta'$ mesons

Hits from subdetectors



\$/28/2023

### Detector Requirements: BSM physics driven



#### LFU: Tagged lepton production from flavor-conserving decays

• excellent  $e/\pi/\mu$  separation

#### **QCD** axion

Calorimetric sensitivity to M(γγ)~30MeV

#### 17 *MeV* e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> state (Atomki experiment)

- Tracker sensitivity to M(e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>)~ 20 MeV
- Electron ID at very low energy

#### **CP** violation with muons

• Muon polarimeter or high-granularity calorimeter



Mounting Evidence for the Violation of Lepton Flavor Universality https://arxiv.org/pdf/ 2111.12739.pdf (A. Crivellin, M. Hoferichter)



## **Detector Requirements and Technology**

REDTOP

- Sustain 0.7 GHz event rate with avg final state multiplicity of 8 particles
- Calorimetric  $\sigma(E)/E \sim 2-3\%/\sqrt{E}$
- High PID efficiency: 98/99% (e, γ), 95% (μ), 95% (π), 99.5% (p,n)
- $\sigma_{tracker}(t) \sim 30psec, \sigma_{calorimeter}(t) \sim 80psec, \sigma_{TOF}(t) \sim 50psec$
- Low-mass vertex detector
- Near- $4\pi$  detector acceptance (as the  $\eta/\eta'$  decay is almost at rest).

charged tracks detection	<u>EM + had calorimeter</u>
LGAD Tracker	<b>ADRIANO2</b> calorimeter (Calice+T1604)
<b>4</b> <i>D</i> track reconstruction for multihadron	Rear section with Fe absorbers
rejection	PFA + Dual-readout+HG
☐ Material budget < 0.1% r.l./layer	Light sensors: SiPM or SPADs
	96.5% coverage
Vertex reconstruction	<u>Cerenkov Threshold TOF</u>
<b>Option 1: Fiber tracker (LHCb style)</b>	<b>Option 1: Quartz tiles</b>
Established and low-cost technology	Established and low-cost technology
$\square \sim 70 \mu m \text{ vertex resolution in } x-y. \text{ Stereo tayers}$	~50psec timing with T1604 prototype
Low material budget (0.11%/layer)	<b>Option 2: EIC-style LGAD</b>
$\square$ ~40µm vertex resolution in 3D	~30-40 psec timing, but expensive





J. Kilmer – J. Rauch

### **Target Systems**







### Target for p and $\pi^*$ beams: 10x 0.78 mm Li foil

- For p and  $\pi^+$  beams
- Inexpensive, but more background
- Untagged/semi-tagged  $\eta/\eta'$  production

# Target for $\pi$ -beams: : LH<sub>2</sub> ( pellets or fluid)

- For  $\pi$  beams only
- More expensive, but less background
- − Tagged  $\eta/\eta'$  production:  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \eta/\eta'$  n

### Vertex Detector



#### **Option 1: LHCb-stile Fiber Tracker**

- Established and simple technology no R&D required
- Active surface is about 0.24 m<sup>2</sup> vs 360 m<sup>2</sup> for LHCb
- Readout channels is about 18,000 vs 590k for LHCB
- Cheap, but no z-measurement nor TOF
- Scale costing directly from LHCb upgrade's TDR



#### **Requirements**

REDTOP

<0.5% X0</li>
<=70µm vertex resolution in x-y.</li>
No active cooling
Rad-hard ~5x10<sup>5</sup> 1 MeV-neq n/cm2/sec
Timing: ~10 nsec

#### Option 2: MuPix (Mu3e vtx technology)

	Requirements	MUPIX7	MuPix8	MuPix10
pixel size [µm <sup>2</sup> ]	$80 \times 80$	$103 \times 80$	$81 \times 80$	$80 \times 80$
sensor size [mm <sup>2</sup> ]	$20 \times 23$	$3.8 \times 4.1$	$10.7 \times 19.5$	$20.66 \times 23.18$
active area [mm <sup>2</sup> ]	$20 \times 20$	$3.2 \times 3.2$	$10.3 \times 16.0$	$20.48 \times 20.00$
active area [mm <sup>2</sup> ]	400	10.6	166	410
sensor thinned to thickness [µm]	50	50, 63, 75	63, 100	50, 100
LVDS links	3 + 1	1	3 + 1	3 + 1
maximum bandwidth <sup>§</sup> [Gbit/s]	$3 \times 1.6$	$1 \times 1.6$	$3 \times 1.6$	$3 \times 1.6$
timestamp clock [MHz]	$\geq 50$	62.5	125	625
RMS of spatial resolution [µm]	$\leq 30$	$\leq 30$	$\leq 30$	$\leq 30$
power consumption [mW/cm <sup>2</sup> ]	$\leq 350$	$\approx 300^{\dagger}$	250 - 300	$\approx 200$
time resolution per pixel [ns]	$\leq 20$	$\approx 14$	$\approx 13 \ (6^*)$	not meas. <sup>‡</sup>
efficiency at 20 Hz/pix noise [%]	$\ge 99$	99.9	.99.9	99.9
noise rate at 99 % efficiency [Hz/pix]	$\leq 20$	< 10	< 1	< 1





### LGAD Tracker



### **Requirements**

<1% X0</li>
30 nsec timing resolution.
No active cooling
Rad-hard ~1x10<sup>5</sup> 1 MeV-neq n/cm2/sec

#### **Option 1: CMS's ETL**

- -REDTOP vs CMS' ETL: 87.5% area
- -use pixel upgrade for the mechanics
- –5-layer barrel
- -4-layer endcaps
- -SID layout

 Demonstrated time resolution ~30 ps up to 1x10<sup>15</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>, and about 40 psec up to 2x10<sup>15</sup> n<sub>eq</sub>/cm<sup>2</sup>



#### CMS-designed 96-channel sensors



FBK wafer with CMS- and ATLAS- sensors

56

### **Threshold Cerenkov - TOF**



#### **Option 1: Small tiles of JGS1** & on-tile SiPM

- Different options: #layers and tile size
- Similar technologies: CMS' BTL (lyso) and Mu3e tile detector (scint. plastics)
- Wellmestablished TOFHIR2 Asic (LIP)

#### **Option 2: LGAD**

- REDTOP vs CMS's ETL: 51% area
- Extra cost justified by position measurement, but loose energy measurement

### **Requirements**

REDTOP

99% efficiency
 Rad-hard <1x10<sup>5</sup> 1 MeV-neq n/cm2/sec
 Timing resolution: <50 psec</li>



### **CALORIMETERS**





#### <u>Requirements</u>

- $\Box \sigma_E / E \sim 2-3\% / \sqrt{E}$
- *□*~80 psec/cell timing resolution for MIPs.
- □*No active cooling*
- $\square$ *Rad-hard* ~5x10<sup>4</sup> 1 *MeV-neq n/cm2/sec*

#### EM: dual-readout ADRIANO2

- Inner section: Pb-glass and scint. Tiles interleaved
- 10 layers 6.6 X0 / 0.55  $\,\lambda_{\rm I}$
- 120,00 tile-pairs
- Same plastic tiles as CMS' HGCAL
- FEE from Weeroc+Omega (costing being discussed) or TOFPET2

#### HAD: triple-readout ADRIANO3

- Outer section: Pb-glass + scint. + thin RPC + Fe
- $\quad 25 \ layers 22 \ X0 \ / \ 2.7 \ \ \lambda_{\rm I}$
- Longer  $\lambda_I$  for better hadron shower containement
- 390,00 tile-pairs
- Heatsink: pyrolitic foil

#### 4/18/2023

## Slide from Calor2022

### **FEE + Tiles with dimple**





### **ADRIANO2 at FTBF**

- Three test beam completed
- Tiles organized in triplet of three sizes
- Final test beam planned for Winter 2023

CADe Fermilab

rthern Illino

• Final test beam with 64 channels and ASIC DAQ : CAEN 5500 with petiroc-2

(University of Kansas)

MOTION TABLE

APACITY

# Cost estimate



- Three funding scenarios considered
- Largest cost uncertainties
  - ADRIANO2 SiPM's (2x10<sup>6</sup> 4x10<sup>6</sup>)
  - LGAD mechanics

### □ No labor considered (usually, 1/3 of the total)

	Baseline option	Optimized option	Expensive option
Target+beam pipe	0.5	0.5	0.9
Vtx detector	0.93	3.11	25.4
LGAD tracker	18.5	18.5	19.6
CTOF	0.6	1.3	3.0
ADRIANO2	47.7	23.9	4''.7
Solenoid	0.2	0.2	0.2
Supporting structure	1	1	1
Trigger	1.3	1.3	5
DAQ	5	5	5
Total	69.7	54.8	101.8
Contingency 50%	34.9	27.4	50.9
Grand total	104.6	82.2	152.7
	61		

## **REDTOP** Collaboration

J. Barn, A. Mane Argonnie National Laborationy, (USA)

J. Comfort, P. Mauskopf, D. McFarland, L. Thomas Arizona State University, (USA)

I. Pedraza, D. Leon, S. Escobar, D. Herrera, D. Silverio Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, (Mexico)

W. Abdallah Faculty of Science, Cairo University, Giza, (Egypt)

D. Winn Fairfield University, (USA)

A. Algahtani Georgetown University, (USA)

W. Abdallah Cairo University, Cairo (Egypt)

A. Kotwal Duke University, (USA)

M. Spannowski Durham University, (UK)

A. Liu Euclid Techlabs, (USA)

J. Dey, V. Di Benedetto, B. Dobrescu, D. Fagan, E. Gianfelice-Wendt, E. Hahn, D. Jensen, C. Johnstone, J. Johnstone, J. Kilmer, G.Krajaio, T. Kobilaroik, A. Kronfeld, K. Krempetz, S. Los, M. May, A. Mazzaoane, N. Mokhov, W. Pellico, A. Pla-Dalmau, V. Pronskikh, E. Ramberg, J. Rauch, L. Ristori, E. Schmidt, G. Sellberg, G. Tassotto, Y.D. Tsai

Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory, (USA)

J. Shi Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Nuclear Science, Institute of Quantum Matter, South China Normal University, I, Guangzhou 510006, (China)

R. Gandhi Harish-Chandra Research Institute, HBNI, Jhunsi (India)

S. Homiller Harvard University, Cambridge, MA (USA)

E. Pasisamar Indiana University (USA)

P. Sanchez-Puertas IFAE – Barcelona (Spain)

X. Chen, Q. Hu Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou (China)

C. Gatto<sup>11</sup> Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare – Sezione di Napoli, (Italy)

W. Baldini Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare – Sezione di Ferrara, (Italy)

R. Carosi, A. Kievsky, M. Miviani Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare – Sezione di Pisa, (Italy)

W. Krzemień, M. Silarski, M. Zielinski Jagiellonian University, Krakow, (Poland)

D. Guadagnoli Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Meux de Physique Théorique, (France)

D. S. M. Alves, S. Gonzalez-Solis de la Fuente, S. Pastore Los Alamos National Laboratory, (USA)

M. Berlowski National Centre for Nuclear Research – Warsaw, (Poland)

G. Blazey, A. Dychkant, K. Francis, M. Syphers, V. Zutshii, P. Chintalapati, T. Malla, M. Figora, T. Fletcher Northern Illinois University, (USA)

A. Ismail Oklahoma State University, (USA)

#### D. Egaña-Ugrinovic

Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physisos - Waterloo, (Canada) S. Rov

Physical Research Laboratory, Ahmedabad – Ahmedabad, (India)

Y. Kahn Princeton University – Princeton, (USA)

D. McKeen TRIUMF (Canada)

Z. Ye Tsinghua University, (China)

P. Meade Stony Brook University - New York, (USA)

A. Gutiérrez-Rodriguez, M. A. Hernandez-Ruiz Universidad Autónoma de Zacatecas, (Mexico)

R. Escribano, P. Masjuan, E. Royo Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Departament de Física and Institut de Física d'Ates Energies, (Spain)

J. Jaeckel Universität Heidelberg, (Germany)

B. Kubis Universität Bonn, Helmholtz-Institut für Strahlen- und Kernphysik (Theorie) and Bethe Center for Theoretical Physics, (Germany)

C. Siligardi, S. Barbi, C. Mugoni Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, (Italy)

L. E. Marcucci\* Universita' di Pisa, (Italy)

M. Guida<sup>3</sup> Università di Salemo, (Italy)

S. Charlebois, J. F. Pratte Université de Sherbrooke, (Canada)

L. Harland-Lang University of Oxford, (UK)

J. M. Berryman University of California Berkeley, (USA)

S. Gori University of California Santa Cruz, (USA)

R. Gardner, P. Paschos University of Chicago, (USA)

J. Konisberg University of Florida, (USA)

C. Mills<sup>5</sup> University of Illinois Chicago, (USA)

M. Murray, C. Rogan, C. Royon, Nicola Minafra, A. Novikov, F. Gautier, T. Isidori University of Kansas, (USA)

S. Gardner, X. Yan University of Kentucky, (USA)

Y. Onel University of Iowa, (USA)

B. Batell, A. Freitas, M. Rai University of Pittsburgh, (USA)

M. Pospelov University of Minnesota , (USA) D. Gao

University of Science and Technology of China, (China)

K. Maamari tier Meeting -- C. Gatto -- INFN & NIU



A. Kupso, Maja Olvegård University of Uppsala, (Sweden)

B. Fabela-Enriquez Vanderbilt University, (USA)

S. Tulin York University, (Canada)

### 15 Countries 58 Institutions 127 Collaborators

## **Future Prospects for REDTOP**



### Physics case presented in White Paper and Snowmass Summer Meeting (July 2022)

Sensitivity to new channels/processes ongoing

### **Baseline detector layout defined**

- Sensitivity studies helped to consolidate the detector requirements
- Two options still on the table for the vtx detector: choice depends on funding
- Muon polarimeter requires further studies

### *Next steps:*

- Prepare the CDR to support the proposal of the experiment to one (or more) of the interested laboratories)
- Strengthen the detector R&D

# Conclusions



- Next 10-20 years will bring crucial discoveries in HEP
- All meson factories: LHCb, B-factories, Dafne, J/psi factories have produced a broad spectrum of nice physics
- The  $\eta / \eta'$  meson is a excellent laboratory for studying rare processes and physics BSM at a lower mass scale
- **REDTOP** only experiment (with SHIP) sensitive to four DM portals
- Excellent complementarities with JEF at JLAB
- New detector techniques for next generation precision experiments
- Beam requirements could be met by several labs in US, Europe, and Asia

### *More details: <u>https://redtop.fnal.gov</u> and* <u>https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.07651</u>

# Backup slides



# Rationale for an $\eta/\eta'$ Factory

"...Light dark matter must be *neutral* under SM charges, otherwise it would have been discovered at previous colliders..." [G. Krnjaic RF6 Meeting, 8/12/2020]

- The only known particles with all-zero quantum numbers: Q = I = J = S = B = L = 0 are the **ŋ/ŋ' mesons** and the **Higgs boson (and the vacuum!)** ->very rare in nature
- The  $\eta$  meson is a Goldstone boson (the the  $\eta'$  meson is not!)
- The  $\eta/\eta'$  decays are flavor-conserving reactions

### **Experimental advantages:**

- Hadronic production cross section is quite large (~ 0.1 barn) → much easier to produce than heavier mesons
- All its possible strong decays are forbidden in lowest order by P and CP invariance, G-parity conservation and isospin and charge symmetry invariance.
- EM decays are forbidden in lowest order by C invariance and angular momentum conservation Branching Ratio of processes from New Physics are enhanced compared to SM.



A  $\eta/\eta'$  factory is equivalent to a low energy Higgs factory and an excellent laboratory to probe New Physics below 1 GeV

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

## Largest Outstanding Anomalies in Cosmology

**Baryon asymmetry of universe (BAU)** 

Requires more CPV than Standard Model can accommodate

Galactic rotation curves and clusters

Indicates large amounts of "dark matter" (~ 5x standard matter)

**Expansion of universe appears to be accelerating** Indicates large amounts of "dark energy" (~ 70% of total energy)

Flatness, Horizon, and Age problems

Universe has same temperature in all directions, but photons arriving from opposite directions come from regions that have not yet had time to communicate or equilibrate  $\rightarrow$  "inflation"

Universe appears younger than oldest stars→accelerating expansion

**Hubble Constant** 

Latest measurements diverge from Standard Cosmology Model

**Distribution of dark matter in the of universe: small scale structure of ΛCDM** Hard to be reconciled with the two basic assumptions: 1) dark matter (DM) is cold and 2) the inflation is simple

4/18/2023

C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

67

## (g-2)<sub>µ</sub> Driven Paradigm of Physics BSM

Model-agnostic theorem based on very general assumptions (unitarity, naturalness, Minimal Flavour Violation, etc.)

- New Physics is a SM singlet, with mass  $\langle GeV \rightarrow low energy experiments$
- New Physics is a SM charged doublet, with mass  $10 100 \text{ TeV} \rightarrow > 20 \text{ TeV}$  collider



### Why the η meson is special?

#### It is a Goldstone boson

- It is an eigenstate of the C, P, CP and G operators (very rare in nature): I<sup>G</sup> J<sup>PC</sup> =0<sup>+</sup> 0<sup>-+</sup>
- All its additive quantum numbers are zero

Q = I = j = S = B = L = 0

- All its possible strong decays are forbidden in lowest order by P and CP invariance, G-parity conservation and isospin and charge symmetry invariance.
- EM decays are forbidden in lowest order by C
- invariance and angular momentum conservation

```
Symmetry constrains its QCD dynamics
```

It can be used to test C and CP invariance.

Its decays are not influenced by a change of flavor (as in K decays) and violations are "pure"

It is a very narrow state ( $\Gamma_{\eta}$ =1.3 KeV vs  $\Gamma_{o}$ =149 MeV)

Contributions from higher orders are enhanced by a factor of ~100,000

Decays are free of SM backgrounds for

Excellent for testing invariances

The η decays are flavor-conserving reactions

 $\eta$  is an excellent laboratory to search for physics  $B^{new\ physics\ search}_{eyond\ Standard\ Model}$ 

5/28/2023

Rare Frontie Matting INF.NG & MIU

69





### CP Violation in $\eta \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$

- *From model: P. Sanchez-Puertas, JHEP 01, 031 (2019), 1810.13228.*
- **Q** Requires the measurement of  $\mu$ -polarization to form the following asymmetries



FIG. 11. Kinematics of the process. The decaying muons' momenta in the  $\eta$  rest frame are noted as  $p_{\mu^{\pm}}$ , while the  $e^{\pm}$  momenta,  $p_{e^{\pm}}^*$ , is shown in the corresponding  $\mu^{\pm}$  reference frame along with the momenta of the  $\nu \bar{\nu}$  system. The  $\hat{z}$  axis is chosen along  $p_{\mu^+}$ .

introduced two different muon's polarization asymmetries,

$$\begin{split} A_L^{\eta \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-} &= -0.19(6) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e q u}^{(1)2211} - 0.19(6) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2211} - 0.020(9) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2222} , \\ A_\times^{\eta \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-} &= 0.07(2) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e q u}^{(1)2211} + 0.07(2) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2211} + 7(3) \times 10^{-3} \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2222} \end{split}$$

REDTOP sensitivity to Wilson CP violating Wilson coefficients								
Process	Trigger	Trigger	Trigger	Reconstruction		Total	Branching ratio	
	L0	L1	L2	+ analysis			sensitivity	
$\eta \to \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-$	80.6%	64.6%	94.3%	92.9%		45.6%	$1.93 \times 10^{-9} \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-1}$	11
Urqmd	21.7%	1.7%	22.2%	$4.7\times10^{-3}\%$		$4.7  imes 10^{-6}\%$	-	

$$\Delta(c_{\ell equ}^{1122}) = 2.6, \quad \Delta(c_{\ell edq}^{1122}) = 2.6, \quad \Delta(c_{\ell edq}^{2222}) = 1.7.$$

#### C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

CP-violation from µ–polarization



### CP Violation in $\eta \rightarrow \pi^{\circ} \mu^{+} \mu^{-}$

- From model: R. Escribano, et. al., JHEP 05 (2022) 147.
- Requires the measurement of μ–polarization to form the following asymmetries



FIG. 11. Kinematics of the process. The decaying muons' momenta in the  $\eta$  rest frame are noted as  $p_{\mu^{\pm}}$ , while the  $e^{\pm}$  momenta,  $p_{e^{\pm}}^*$ , is shown in the corresponding  $\mu^{\pm}$  reference frame along with the momenta of the  $\nu \bar{\nu}$  system. The  $\hat{z}$  axis is chosen along  $p_{\mu^+}$ .

introduced two different muon's polarization asymmetries,

$$\begin{split} A_L^{\eta \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-} &= -0.19(6) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e q u}^{(1)2211} - 0.19(6) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2211} - 0.020(9) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2222} , \\ A_{\times}^{\eta \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-} &= 0.07(2) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e q u}^{(1)2211} + 0.07(2) \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2211} + 7(3) \times 10^{-3} \operatorname{Im} c_{\ell e d q}^{2222} \end{split}$$

Process	Trigger L0	Trigger L1	Trigger L2	$\begin{array}{c} Reconstruction \\ + \ analysis \end{array}$	Total	Branching ratio sensitivity
$\eta \to \pi^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	64.1%	36.7%	91.4%	73.2%	15.7%	$9.4\times 10^{-9}\pm 1.3\times 10^{-10}$
Urqmd	21.7%	1.7%	22.2%	$1.6\times 10^{-2}\%$	$1.3\times10^{-5}\%$	-

#### C. Gatto - INFN & NIU

71



# **REDTOP OSG Yearly Usage Statistics**

	τοται
- SU ITS	9 Mil
MWT2 ATLAS UC	2 Mil
GLOW	303 K
- TCNJ - ELSA	293 K
FSU_HNPGRID	267 K
<ul> <li>BNL ATLAS Tier1</li> </ul>	264 K
UConn-OSG	250 K
UConn-HPC	178 K
<ul> <li>UColorado_HEP</li> </ul>	174 K
- OU ATLAS	173 K
<ul> <li>ASU Research Computing</li> </ul>	163 K
🗕 Nebraska-Omaha	75 K
ICC-SLATE-HTC	69 K
<ul> <li>New Mexico State Discovery</li> </ul>	61 K
– NWICG_NDCMS	42 K
<ul> <li>Clemson-Palmetto</li> </ul>	41 K
AMNH	40 K
- UPRM_HEP	34 K
- FermiGrid	34 K
- cinvestav	31 K

4 . 4 . 4

- Time range: Feb 2020 Feb 2021
- Total Core Hours: 13.8 million
- Total jobs: 7.15 million


## LGAD Central Tracker R&D

#### Goals

- $\sigma_t < 30 \text{ psec}$
- <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> the material budget of LGAD's for LHC
- Spatial resolution lower priority

### *Motivations*

- 4D reconstruction of tracks
  - Disentangle overlapping tracks from protons interacting in different targets
  - Fast information for L0 trigger
  - Contribute to TOF measurement
  - Assist VTX detector for vertex reconstruction
- New generation of Central Tracker for High Intensity experiments

### Organization

- Collaboration is forming (Group Leader: C. Mill, UIC)
- Funding proposal to DOE in October
- New collaborators are welcome

#### Slide from Calor2022

# **Cerenkov TOF in T1604**

#### *Test beam with 3x3x1 cm<sup>3</sup> JS1 tiles with UV coating*

- S14160-5060 Sipm
- Porka FEE and Sampic TDC digitizer



James Freeman, Sergey Los / Fermilab Oct. 13, 2020





4/18/2023

### Vertex Detector R&D

### **Option 1: LHCb-stile Fiber Tracker**

- Established and simple technology no R&D required
- Active surface is about 0.24 m<sup>2</sup> vs 360 m<sup>2</sup> for LHCb
- Readout channels is about 18,000 vs 590k for LHCB
- Cheap, but no z-measurement nor TOF

### **Option 2: ALICE-stile ITS3**

- curved wafer-scale ultra-thin silicon sensors
- arranged in perfectly cylindrical layers pions
- unprecedented low material budget of 0.05% X0 per layer
- Will be the standard of most new generation

lower-energy trackers

#### Organization

• REDTOP groups will join existing EIC consortia

**128 modules** (0.5 x 5 m<sup>2</sup>) arranged in 3 stations × 4 layers (XUVX)





## Muon Polarimeter R&D

### **Option 1: TREK-style active polarimeter**

- To be inserted between the EM and Hadronic sections of ADRIANO2
- High efficiency, but requires a separate detector
- Benefit from R&D in E-246 Collaboration



### **Option 2: Implement special layers in ADRIANO2**

- Lead-glass or quartz are OK since the do not change the muon polarization
- Requires higher granularity to reconstruct the electron direction
- Two possible solutions:
  - Silicon pixel/strips layers between lead glass tiles
  - Smaller lead-glass or quartz tiles

### Organization

• Simulation needed to select baseline option.

# Storage & CPU



### Expected data rates from the experiment

- About 500 kHz to be stored on tape
- □ ~0.9 GB/sec from L2
- ~6 PB/year to tape (assume 1.6 kb event size)

### Data from DAQ and Montecarlo

- Data from experiment: ~6 PB/year to tape
- Processed data (reco, calib. Analysis, etc) : ~1.0 PB/year (tape and disk)
- □ Montecarlo (~10<sup>11</sup> events): ~0.5 PB/run (tape and disk)
- **Total:** 7.5 PB/year

### CPU for Reconstruction Analysis and Montecarlo

- **5**5 million core-hours for Monte Carlo jobs
- □ 35 million core-hours for data reconstruction jobs
- □ Total: ~ 90 million core-hours /year

(estimate by projecting current OSG usage)

# **Overall Computing Usage**

- *Computing resources for REDTOP are from three sources:* 
  - OSG: CPU and stash storage
  - NICADD/NIU: CPU and permanent storage
  - *Fermilab* (*private farm hosted by AD*) : *CPU and permanent storage*

### Summary of computing

Source	Storage	#core available	Jobs/yr	Wall hr/yr	Fraction
OSG	100 TB (wittpppeaks of 140	opportunistic	7x10 <sup>6</sup>	14x10 <sup>6</sup>	72%
NICADD	15 TB	500-690	4x10 <sup>6</sup>	5x10 <sup>6</sup>	26%
Fermilab	200 TB	350	300K	600K	2%